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Summary

Objectives: To summarize current excellent research in the field of patient records.


Results: The Electronic Patient Record encompasses a broad field of research and development. Some current research topics were selected for this IMIA Yearbook: EHR representation and communication standards, and secondary uses of clinical data for research and decision support. Four excellent papers representing the research in those fields were selected for the Patient Records section.

Conclusion: The best papers selected for this section focus on the analysis and comparison of two important clinical documents representation standards, on direct structured data entry, on the use of Natural Language Processing to detect adverse events, and on the development and evaluation of a clinical text corpus annotated for part-of-speech information.
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Best Paper Selection

Selection of the best papers for the Patient Records section in the IMIA Yearbook 2007 reflects the research and development trends cited above and also already expressed in a review [9] and in previous editions of the IMIA Yearbook [10,11].

As a result of a comprehensive review process based on criteria cited in [12], four outstanding papers representing the research in the EPR domain were selected from peer-reviewed journals in the field of medical informatics. Table 1 lists the selected papers. A brief content summary of these selected papers can be found in the Appendix of this synopsis.

Conclusions and Outlook

As cited above, EHR standards are required to share health record data whilst preserving faithfully the original meaning intended by the author [3]. Important standards focusing on the representation and exchange of clinical documents are the HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA) [13] and the ASTM Continuity of Care Record (CCR) [14]. These standards are analogous and [4] analyzes this similarity and proposes some solutions to improve their interoperability. An agreement between HL7 and the ASTM has resulted in the development of the Continuity of Care
Table 1 Best paper selection for the IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics 2007 in the section ‘Patient Records’. The articles are listed in alphabetical order of the first author’s surname.
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Ferranti JM, Musser RC, Kawamoto K, Hammond WE
The clinical document architecture and the continuity of care record: a critical analysis
J Am Med Inform Assoc 2006 May-Jun; 13(3):245-52

Several Standards Development Organizations (SDO) are currently working on frameworks for representing and exchanging the content of EHRs. Prominent examples of those frameworks are the HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA) [13] and the ASTM International Continuity of Care Record (CCR) [14]. Release 2 of the former has been published in 2005 and derives its content from the HL7 Reference Information Model. It was conceived to represent virtually any type of clinical document. Version 1.0 of the CCR was also published in 2005 and is mainly intended to provide consulting physicians with the information necessary to participate in a patient’s care. It is focused on the primary care “summary record”.

These standards are unfortunately similar. The authors see substantial value in the CCR but are concerned that it does not possess the same potential for interoperability as the CDA. They compare both standards and propose several interoperability solutions.

Los RK, van Ginneken AM, van der Lei J
OpenSDE: a strategy for expressive and flexible structured data entry

This paper proposes a detailed description of a novel application called OpenSDE and allowing structured data entry. OpenSDE [17] is an open source application supporting data entry by clinicians in a variety of settings, for both patient care and research [18]. It is based on the selection of predefined concepts organized as nodes in a tree structure. Each node has a set of data items to specify such as its status, value, and a timestamp. Free text comments can also be added. The tree holds constraints and is domain specific. Trees are called domain models and are manually authored. They resemble terminologies and were developed instead of using standard terminologies because the latter have a different purpose and insufficient granularity and flexibility.

OpenSDE is used at the Erasmus Medical Center (Rotterdam, Netherlands) in several departments and has been mostly evaluated in Pediatrics [19,20].

Melton GB, Hripcsak G
Automated detection of adverse events using natural language processing of discharge summaries

The authors have adapted a well-known natural language processing application called MedLEE [21] to detect adverse events in narrative text. The 45 patient-related hospital-based adverse event types defined in the New York Patient Occurrence Reporting and Tracking System (NYPORTS) were searched in discharge summaries of inpatients at the New York-Presbyterian Hospital-Columbia University Medical Center.

Agreement between reviewers was excellent (kappa = 0.94). The system was mainly evaluated with 1000 randomly selected cases from a set of 57452 patients in the years 1996 to 2000. A manual chart review of discharge summaries, of the full electronic chart, and of the paper chart, served as gold standard. Discharge summaries were shown to contain most of the information needed to detect NYPORTS adverse events. When aggregated by case, sensitivity of the system was 0.28 and specificity was 0.98. When aggregated by event, sensitivity was 0.25 and specificity was 0.99. Evaluation based on the full cohort of 57452 patients with review of the cases with adverse events detected by the system gave a positive predictive value of 0.45 when aggregated by case, and 0.44 when aggregated by event. These results compare favorably with other similar studies. The system was also compared with traditional adverse event reporting and exhibited a sensitivity of 0.34 when traditional methods had a sensitivity of only 0.086.

Pakhomov SV, Coden A, Chute CG
Developing a corpus of clinical notes manually annotated for part-of-speech
Int J Med Inform 2006 Jun;75(6):418-29

This paper describes the development and evaluation of a corpus of clinical text manually annotated for part-of-speech (POS) information. A corpus of 273 clinical notes form the Mayo Clinic were annotated with POS tags from the Penn Treebank tagset [22]. Annotation was done by three medical coding experts. Inter-annotator agreement was excellent with a kappa coefficient of 0.93. Using a variation of the 10-fold cross-validation, the authors trained the TnT tagger [23] on Penn Treebank data and on clinical data from the corpus, and then evaluated it with part of the annotated corpus. When only trained on Penn Treebank data, POS tagging correctness was 89.8%, and when also trained on clinical data, correctness grew to 94.7%, suggesting a need to adapt POS taggers to the clinical sublanguage. Evaluation of correctness for 10 different sections of clinical notes (e.g. current medications, allergies, family history) showed results between 74.7% for the current medications section and 92.6% for the family history section, suggesting that further adaptation to particular clinical note sections may improve the correctness of POS tagging.

* The complete papers can be accessed in the Yearbook’s full electronic version, provided that permission has been granted by the copyright holder(s)